STATE OF CALIFORNIA, RESOURCES AGENCY



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

DIVISION OF LAND RESOURCE PROTECTION

801 K STREET - MS 18-01 - SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

PHONE 916 / 324-0650 - FAX 916 / 327-3430 - IDD 916 / 324-2555 - WEBSITE conservation.ca.gov

January 19, 2007

DOCKET 06-AFC-5 TO DATE JAN 1 9 2007 7 RECD. FEB 2 3 290

DECEIVED

JAN 25 2007

FRESNO COUNTY
DEPT. OF
PUBLIC WORKS & PLANNING

Mr. Jared Nimer, Planner II

Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning
Development Services Division
2220 Tulare Street, Sixth Floor
Fresno, CA 93721

Subject:

Partial Cancellation of Land Conservation (Williamson Act) Contract

ALCC No. 367 (RLCC 838); APN 027-060-78s portion - PAO

Investments

Dear Mr. Nimer:

Thank you for submitting notice to the Department of Conservation (Department) as required by Government Code section 51284.1 for the above referenced matter.

The petition proposes to cancel a 12.82-acre portion of the parcel's 128.49 prime agricultural acres subject to Contract No. 367 for development of a 200-megawatt thermal power plant. The parcel's remaining 115 acres are currently undergoing the nonrenewal process for contract termination.

The site is located south and adjacent to West Panoche Road, approximately ¼ of a mile west of the intersection of Fairfax Avenue and West Panoche Road in Fresno County.

Cancellation Findings

Government Code Section 51282 states that tentative approval for cancellation may be granted only if the local government makes one of the following findings: 1) cancellation is **consistent** with purposes of the Williamson Act or 2) cancellation is in the **public interest**. The Department has reviewed the petition and information provided and offers the following comments.

Cancellation is consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act

For the cancellation to be consistent with purposes of the Williamson Act, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors must make all of the following five findings: 1) a notice

Mr. Jared Nimer, Planner II January 19, 2007 Page 2 of 3

of nonrenewal has been served, 2) removal of adjacent land from agricultural use is unlikely, 3) the alternative use is consistent with the County's General Plan, 4) discontiguous patterns of urban development will not result, and 5) that there is no proximate noncontracted land which is available and suitable for the use proposed on the contracted land or that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.

Provided the information received is accurate and correct, the Department concurs the Board has a basis to find cancellation of the 12.82-acre portion of the contract consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act.

The landowner served a notice of nonrenewal. The 128.49-acre portion of Contract No. 367 (APN 027-060-78s) is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2016. Development of the proposed power generation facility will not negatively affect adjacent agricultural lands or cause their removal from agricultural use.

The proposed alternative use appears consistent with the agricultural land use policies contained in the Fresno County General Plan. The proposed alternative use will not produce discontiguous patterns of urban development and due to the location of the existing PG&E substation, the Department would concur that there is not proximate noncontracted land that is suitable or available for the alternative use proposed.

Cancellation is in the Public Interest

For the cancellation to be in the public interest, the Council must make findings with respect to <u>all</u> of the following: (1) other public concerns substantially outweigh the objectives of the Williamson Act and (2) that there is no proximate noncontracted land which is available and suitable for the use proposed on the contracted land <u>or</u> that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land. Our comments have already addressed the second finding required under public interest finding above.

In order to find that "other public concerns substantially outweigh the objectives of the Williamson Act," the Supreme Court has directed that the Board must consider the interest of the public as a whole in the value of the land for open space and agricultural use. Though the interests of the local and regional communities involved are also important, no decision regarding the public interest can be based exclusively on their parochialism. Moreover, the paramount 'interest' involved is the preservation of land in agricultural production. In providing for cancellation, the Legislature has recognized the relevance of other interests, such as housing, needed services, environmental protection through developed uses, economic growth and employment. However, it must be shown that open space objectives, explicitly and unequivocally protected by the act, are substantially outweighed by other public concerns before the cancellation can be deemed "in the public interest" (Sierra Club v City of Hayward (1981), 28 Cal. 3d. 840, 857).

Mr. Jared Nimer, Planner II January 19, 2007 Page 3 of 3

As a general rule, land can be withdrawn from Williamson Act contract through the nine-year nonrenewal process. The Supreme Court has opined that cancellation is reserved for extraordinary situations (Sierra Club v. City of Hayward (1981), 28 Cal.3d 840).

Lastly, legislation effective January 1, 2005, requires the county assessor to send notice to the Department and landowner of the current fair market value of the land and of the opportunity to request a formal review from the assessor prior to any action giving tentative approval to the cancellation of any contract. (SB 1820, Machado, Chapter 794, Statutes of 2004 (Section 51283(a)). To date, the Department has not received the required notice of the parcel's cancellation valuation.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed cancellation. Please provide our office with a copy of the Notice of the Public Hearing on this matter ten (10) working days before the hearing and a copy of the published notice of the Board's decision within 30 days of the tentative cancellation pursuant to section 51284. If you have any questions concerning our comments, please contact Adele Lagomarsino, Program Analyst at (916) 445-9411.

Sincerely,

Dennis J. O'Bryant Program Manager

1) - Drynt

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE PANOCHE ENERGY CENTER

Docket No. 06-AFC-5 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 12/19/06)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS:</u> All parties shall 1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies <u>OR</u> 2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the web address below, AND 3) all parties shall also send a printed <u>OR</u> electronic copy of the documents that <u>shall include a proof of service declaration</u> to each of the individuals on the proof of service:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 06-AFC-5 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Gary R. Chandler Panoche Energy Center, LLC P.O. Box 95592 South Jordan, UT 84095-0592

APPLICANT CONSULTANTS

Maggie Fitzgerald, Program Manager URS 2020 East First Street, Suite 400 Santa Ana, CA 92705

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Allan Thompson 21 "C" Orinda Way, No. 314 Orinda, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Larry Tobias
Ca. Independent System Operator
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
LTobias@caiso.com

Electricity Oversight Board 770 L Street, Suite 1250 Sacramento, CA 95814 <u>esaltmarsh@eob.ca.gov</u>

<u>INTERVENORS</u>

CURE
Gloria D. Smith
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com

CURE

Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com Paul Kramer, Jr.
Hearing Officer
pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

James Reede Project Manager

ireede@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Presiding Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

JAMES D. BOYD Associate Member ibovd@energy.state.ca.us Dick Ratliff Staff Counsel dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

Margret J. Kim Public Adviser pao@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Geoff Carter, declare that on <u>February 23, 2007</u>, I deposited copies of the attached <u>Partial Cancellation of Land Conservation (Williamson Act) Contract ALCC No. 367 (RLCC 838); APN 027-060-78s poertion – PAO Investments</u>, in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento</u>, <u>California</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Original Signed in Dockets
[signature]